

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,122	04/30/2001	Kazuo Nemoto	6169-237	5232
7590 04/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Gregory A. Nelson Akerman Senterfitt			VO, HUYEN X	
222 Lakeview Avenue, Fourth Floor			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 3188			2655	
West Palm Bea	ch, FL 33402-3188		DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/846,122	NEMOTO, KAZUO
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit
	Huyen Vo	2655
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply to a
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
<u> </u>		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	•	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	•	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling		• •
NOTE: <u>proposed amendment raises new issues</u>		tion and/or search.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:	•	
Claim(s) rejected:	•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	Su	SAN MCFADDEN MARY EXAMINER